

Math 325K - Lecture 2

Section 2.1 Logical form and logical equivalence

Bo Lin

September 4th, 2018

Outline

- Logical statements.
- Compound statements.
- Truth values and truth tables.
- Logical equivalence.

The logical form of arguments

In the study of logic, we focus on the format of arguments instead of the contents. Arguments usually have premises and conclusions.

The logical form of arguments

In the study of logic, we focus on the format of arguments instead of the contents. Arguments usually have premises and conclusions.

Example

Consider the argument:

If Jane is a math major or Jane is a computer science major, then Jane will take Math 325K.

It is of the format: if p or q , then r .

The logical form of arguments

In the study of logic, we focus on the format of arguments instead of the contents. Arguments usually have premises and conclusions.

Example

Consider the argument:

If Jane is a math major or Jane is a computer science major, then Jane will take Math 325K.

It is of the format: if p or q , then r .

Remark

For logic, we focus on the patterns of reasoning.

Statements

Definition

A **statement** or **proposition** is a sentence that is true or false but not both.

Statements

Definition

A **statement** or **proposition** is a sentence that is true or false but not both.

Remark

If one cannot tell whether the sentence is true or false, or its truthfulness depends on the value of some variable, then it is not a statement.

Example of logical statements and non statements

Example

Are the following sentences statements?

- (a) *The square of 3 is 9.*
- (b) $a + b > 0$.
- (c) *This M325K class has 2 midterm exams and it has 1 final exam.*
- (d) *There are 5 named oceans in total on earth.*

Example of logical statements and non statements

Example

Are the following sentences statements?

- (a) *The square of 3 is 9.*
- (b) *$a + b > 0$.*
- (c) *This M325K class has 2 midterm exams and it has 1 final exam.*
- (d) *There are 5 named oceans in total on earth.*

Solution

(a), (c), (d) are statements where (a) and (c) are true, (d) is false. (b) is not a statement, because its truthfulness depends on the values of variables a and b .

Negation

Now we introduce 3 operators of statements that are used to build more complicated logical statements.

Definition

Given a statement p , the **negation** of p is the statement 'not p ', denoted by $\sim p$ or $\neg p$.

Negation

Now we introduce 3 operators of statements that are used to build more complicated logical statements.

Definition

Given a statement p , the **negation** of p is the statement 'not p ', denoted by $\sim p$ or $\neg p$.

Remark

Consistent with our common sense, when p is true, $\sim p$ must be false; and when p is false, $\sim p$ must be true.

Conjunction

Definition

Given statements p and q , the **conjunction** of p and q is the statement ' p and q ', denoted by $p \wedge q$.

Conjunction

Definition

Given statements p and q , the **conjunction** of p and q is the statement ' p and q ', denoted by $p \wedge q$.

Remark

Consistent with our common sense, $p \wedge q$ is true if and only if both p and q are true.

Disjunction

Definition

Given statements p and q , the **disjunction** of p and q is the statement ' p or q ', denoted by $p \vee q$.

Disjunction

Definition

Given statements p and q , the **disjunction** of p and q is the statement ' p or q ', denoted by $p \vee q$.

Remark

Consistent with our common sense, $p \vee q$ is true if and only if p or q is true.

Examples of compound statements

Example

Let h be 'John is healthy', w be 'John is wealthy', and s be 'John is smart'. Rewrite the following compound statements using h, w, s and logical operators.

- (a) *John is smart but not wealthy.*
- (b) *John is healthy and wealthy but not smart.*
- (c) *John is not wealthy but he is healthy and smart.*
- (d) *John is wealthy, but he is not both healthy and smart.*

Translation to and from English

In English sentences, there are other words related to the logical operations of statements.

Remark

The word 'but' serves as 'and' in general. For example, consider the compound statement 'the building is tall but it has no elevator'. If p denotes 'the building is tall' and q denotes 'the building has no elevator', the statement is rephrased as $p \wedge q$.

Translation to and from English

In English sentences, there are other words related to the logical operations of statements.

Remark

The word 'but' serves as 'and' in general. For example, consider the compound statement 'the building is tall but it has no elevator'. If p denotes 'the building is tall' and q denotes 'the building has no elevator', the statement is rephrased as $p \wedge q$.

Remark

Another common phrase is 'neither ... nor ...'. Given statements p and q , the statement 'neither p nor q ' is literally $(\sim p) \wedge (\sim q)$.

Examples of compound statements

Solution

(a) $s \wedge \sim w.$

(b) $h \wedge w \wedge \sim s.$

(c) $\sim w \wedge h \wedge s.$

(d) $w \wedge \sim(h \wedge s).$

Examples of compound statements

Solution

- (a) $s \wedge \sim w.$
- (b) $h \wedge w \wedge \sim s.$
- (c) $\sim w \wedge h \wedge s.$
- (d) $w \wedge \sim(h \wedge s).$

Remark

*When multiple operator appear, the priority order is $\sim > \wedge = \vee$.
We need to add parentheses when ambiguity exists.*

Other operators

By definition, \sim is a unary operator and both \wedge and \vee are binary ones. There are several other logical operators that could be defined from the previous three, for example, **conditional** \rightarrow and **equivalence** \leftrightarrow . We will discuss the conditional operator tomorrow.

Other operators

By definition, \sim is a unary operator and both \wedge and \vee are binary ones. There are several other logical operators that could be defined from the previous three, for example, **conditional** \rightarrow and **equivalence** \leftrightarrow . We will discuss the conditional operator tomorrow.

Remark

These operators are also called logical connectives because they can connect statements to compound statements.

Statement forms

Definition

A **statement form** is an expression made up of statement variables (such as p, q) and logical connectives (such as \sim, \wedge, \vee) that becomes a statement when actual statements are substituted for the component statement variables.

Statement forms

Definition

A **statement form** is an expression made up of statement variables (such as p, q) and logical connectives (such as \sim, \wedge, \vee) that becomes a statement when actual statements are substituted for the component statement variables.

Remark

A statement form is simply a pattern that represents a lot of statements with different components.

Definition and truth tables

Definition

The **truth value** of a statement is either **true** or **false**. For convenience we also write T and F respectively.

Definition and truth tables

Definition

The **truth value** of a statement is either **true** or **false**. For convenience we also write T and F respectively.

Given a statement form p , if the truth values of the components of p are determined, then the truth value of p is also determined. This relationship is characterized in **truth tables**.

Definition and truth tables

Definition

The **truth value** of a statement is either **true** or **false**. For convenience we also write T and F respectively.

Given a statement form p , if the truth values of the components of p are determined, then the truth value of p is also determined. This relationship is characterized in **truth tables**.

Remark

These non-compound statements appeared a compound statement are called **statement variables**.

Truth table of negation

The truth table of \sim is very simple, as for any statement p , p and $\sim p$ has exactly opposite truth values.

Truth table of negation

The truth table of \sim is very simple, as for any statement p , p and $\sim p$ has exactly opposite truth values.

p	$\sim p$
T	F
F	T

Figure: The truth table of negation \sim .

Truth value of conjunction

The truth table of $p \wedge q$ contains more rows. Since both p and q can be either true or false, there are $2 \cdot 2 = 4$ cases.

Truth value of conjunction

The truth table of $p \wedge q$ contains more rows. Since both p and q can be either true or false, there are $2 \cdot 2 = 4$ cases.

p	q	$p \wedge q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

Figure: The truth table of conjunction \wedge .

Truth value of disjunction

Similarly, we have the truth table of $p \vee q$.

Truth value of disjunction

Similarly, we have the truth table of $p \vee q$.

p	q	$p \vee q$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

Figure: The truth table of disjunction \vee .

Example of truth tables

Example

Summarize the truth table of the statement form $p \wedge \sim q$.

Example of truth tables

Example

Summarize the truth table of the statement form $p \wedge \sim q$.

Solution

p	q	$\sim q$	$p \wedge \sim q$
T	T	F	F
T	F	T	T
F	T	F	F
F	F	T	F

Figure: The truth table of $p \wedge \sim q$.

Truth tables for more general statements

If a statement form is more complicated, for example

$$(p \vee \sim q) \wedge (\sim p \vee r),$$

we need to write a truth table with more rows. And we can add columns for the intermediate statements.

Truth tables for more general statements

If a statement form is more complicated, for example

$$(p \vee \sim q) \wedge (\sim p \vee r),$$

we need to write a truth table with more rows. And we can add columns for the intermediate statements.

In this case, we can write the following table

p	q	r	$\sim p$	$\sim q$	$p \vee \sim q$	$\sim p \vee r$	$(p \vee \sim q) \wedge (\sim p \vee r)$
T	F	T	F	T	T	T	T
				\dots			

Figure: The truth table of $(p \vee \sim q) \wedge (\sim p \vee r)$.

Definition

Definition

Two statements form p and q are **logically equivalent**, denoted by $p \equiv q$, if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1 p and q have the same set of statement variables;
- 2 for every combination of the truth value of these statement variables, p and q have the same truth value.

Equivalently, p and q have exactly the same truth table.

Definition

Definition

Two statements form p and q are **logically equivalent**, denoted by $p \equiv q$, if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1 p and q have the same set of statement variables;
- 2 for every combination of the truth value of these statement variables, p and q have the same truth value.

Equivalently, p and q have exactly the same truth table.

Remark

One method to check whether p and q are logically equivalent is to write their truth tables.

Checking logical equivalences by truth tables

Example

Show that statement forms $p \wedge \sim q$ and $\sim(\sim p \vee q)$ are logically equivalent.

Checking logical equivalences by truth tables

Example

Show that statement forms $p \wedge \sim q$ and $\sim(\sim p \vee q)$ are logically equivalent.

Proof.

Their truth tables are identical:

p	q	$\sim q$	$p \wedge \sim q$
T	T	F	F
T	F	T	T
F	T	F	F
F	F	T	F

p	q	$\sim p$	$\sim p \vee q$	$\sim(\sim p \vee q)$
T	T	F	T	F
T	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	T	F
F	F	T	T	F



Examples about logical equivalences

There are many examples of logically equivalent statement forms.

Examples about logical equivalences

There are many examples of logically equivalent statement forms.

Example

The following pairs of statement forms are logically equivalent:

- 1 $\sim(\sim p)$ and p .
- 2 $p \wedge q$ and $q \wedge p$.
- 3 $p \vee q$ and $q \vee p$.
- 4 $p \wedge (q \wedge r)$ and $(p \wedge q) \wedge r$.
- 5 $p \vee (q \vee r)$ and $(p \vee q) \vee r$.

Examples about logical equivalences

There are many examples of logically equivalent statement forms.

Example

The following pairs of statement forms are logically equivalent:

- 1 $\sim(\sim p)$ and p .
- 2 $p \wedge q$ and $q \wedge p$.
- 3 $p \vee q$ and $q \vee p$.
- 4 $p \wedge (q \wedge r)$ and $(p \wedge q) \wedge r$.
- 5 $p \vee (q \vee r)$ and $(p \vee q) \vee r$.

Remark

Both \wedge and \vee satisfy the commutative and the associative laws.

De Morgan's Laws

Augustus De Morgan was a British mathematician and logician.

Definition

De Morgan's Laws consist of the following two pairs of logically equivalent statement forms:

- $\sim(p \wedge q) \equiv (\sim p) \vee (\sim q)$;
- $\sim(p \vee q) \equiv (\sim p) \wedge (\sim q)$.

De Morgan's Laws

Augustus De Morgan was a British mathematician and logician.

Definition

De Morgan's Laws consist of the following two pairs of logically equivalent statement forms:

- $\sim(p \wedge q) \equiv (\sim p) \vee (\sim q)$;
- $\sim(p \vee q) \equiv (\sim p) \wedge (\sim q)$.

Remark

We can easily verify De Morgan's Laws using truth tables.

A cautionary example

Example

Consider the following compound statement a : 'John is tall and thin'. What is its negation in English sentences?

A cautionary example

Example

Consider the following compound statement a : 'John is tall and thin'. What is its negation in English sentences?

Solution

Let p be 'John is tall' and q be 'John is thin'. Then a is simply $p \wedge q$. By De Morgan's Laws, $\sim a$ is logically equivalent to $(\sim p) \vee (\sim q)$, which is 'John is not tall or not thin'. However, if we take the negation of the original sentence, it becomes 'John is not tall and thin'. How to understand this phenomenon?

The negation of a is 'John is not (tall and thin)'. So actually this $\sim a$ is not 'evaluated' at all. And if we do want to break it down, we apply De Morgan's Laws and get the correct result.

Tautologies and contradictions

Definition

A **tautology** is a statement form that is always true regardless of the truth values of its statement variables.

Tautologies and contradictions

Definition

A **tautology** is a statement form that is always true regardless of the truth values of its statement variables.

Definition

A **contradiction** is a statement form that is always false regardless of the truth values of its statement variables.

Tautologies and contradictions

Definition

A **tautology** is a statement form that is always true regardless of the truth values of its statement variables.

Definition

A **contradiction** is a statement form that is always false regardless of the truth values of its statement variables.

Remark

Other than truth tables, we can show a statement form is tautology or contradiction by simplifying it with logically equivalent statement forms.

Examples of tautologies and contradictions

Example

Show that $p \vee \sim p$ is a tautology and $p \wedge \sim p$ is a contradiction.

Examples of tautologies and contradictions

Example

Show that $p \vee \sim p$ is a tautology and $p \wedge \sim p$ is a contradiction.

Proof.

Simply by truth tables.

p	$\sim p$	$p \vee \sim p$
T	F	T
F	T	T

p	$\sim p$	$p \wedge \sim p$
T	F	F
F	T	F

