Searching Sorting

Math 2603 - Lecture 12 Section 8.3 Searching and sorting

Bo Lin

October 1st, 2019

Bo Lin Lecture 12 search & sort

Searching

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

When solving problem, the following **searching** tasks are very common.

- Given numbers a_1, \ldots, a_n , check whether a particular number x appears among them. (Example: set membership)
- Given sorted real numbers a₁ ≤ a₂ ≤ ... ≤ a_n and another real number x, find an index i such that a_i ≤ x < a_{i+1}. (Example: floor and ceiling functions)

When solving problem, the following **searching** tasks are very common.

- Given numbers a_1, \ldots, a_n , check whether a particular number x appears among them. (Example: set membership)
- Given sorted real numbers a₁ ≤ a₂ ≤ ... ≤ a_n and another real number x, find an index i such that a_i ≤ x < a_{i+1}. (Example: floor and ceiling functions)

They are required by many other processes and are repeated many times, so we would like to find efficient algorithms for them.

A straightforward approach

For the first type of searching task, a straightforward approach would be: compare x with every a_i .

A straightforward approach

For the first type of searching task, a straightforward approach would be: compare x with every a_i . In general, if the data a_1, \ldots, a_n do not have any pattern, there is no shortcut. The reason is very simple: every a_i could be x, so in the worst case when x is not equal to all other a_j for $j \neq i$, one still cannot ignore a_i .

A linear search algorithm

```
input : Real numbers a_1, \ldots, a_n and x.

output: If x appear among a_i's, "True"; otherwise, "False".

for i \leftarrow 1 to n do

if x = a_i then

output "True";

set i = 2n;

end

Output "False;
```

Algorithm 1: Linear Search

Example

Suppose
$$(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (6, 0, -2, 1)$$
 and $x = -2$.

æ

→ < ∃ →</p>

Example

Suppose
$$(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (6, 0, -2, 1)$$
 and $x = -2$.

Solution

• i = 1: compare x with $a_1 = 6$, not equal;

Example

Suppose
$$(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (6, 0, -2, 1)$$
 and $x = -2$.

- i = 1: compare x with $a_1 = 6$, not equal;
- i = 2: compare x with $a_2 = 0$, not equal;

Example

Suppose
$$(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (6, 0, -2, 1)$$
 and $x = -2$.

- i = 1: compare x with $a_1 = 6$, not equal;
- i = 2: compare x with $a_2 = 0$, not equal;
- i = 3: compare x with $a_3 = -2$, equal;

Example

Suppose
$$(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (6, 0, -2, 1)$$
 and $x = -2$.

- i = 1: compare x with $a_1 = 6$, not equal;
- i = 2: compare x with $a_2 = 0$, not equal;
- i = 3: compare x with $a_3 = -2$, equal;
- output "True";

Example

Suppose
$$(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (6, 0, -2, 1)$$
 and $x = -2$.

- i = 1: compare x with $a_1 = 6$, not equal;
- i = 2: compare x with $a_2 = 0$, not equal;
- i = 3: compare x with $a_3 = -2$, equal;
- output "True";

Example

Suppose
$$(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (6, 0, -2, 1)$$
 and $x = -2$.

- i = 1: compare x with $a_1 = 6$, not equal;
- i = 2: compare x with $a_2 = 0$, not equal;
- i = 3: compare x with $a_3 = -2$, equal;
- output "True";
- set i = 2n = 8;
- since i = 8 > 4 = n, the algorithm terminates.

Remark

The purpose of setting i = 2n is to indicate that we already found x in the search. And i has a value not in 1 to n, so the loop stops immediately.

Remark

The purpose of setting i = 2n is to indicate that we already found x in the search. And i has a value not in 1 to n, so the loop stops immediately.

Example

What is the complexity function of this algorithm?

Remark

The purpose of setting i = 2n is to indicate that we already found x in the search. And i has a value not in 1 to n, so the loop stops immediately.

Example

What is the complexity function of this algorithm? For each i, we need one comparison between x and a_i . Is it all it takes?

Remark

The purpose of setting i = 2n is to indicate that we already found x in the search. And i has a value not in 1 to n, so the loop stops immediately.

Example

What is the complexity function of this algorithm? For each i, we need one comparison between x and a_i . Is it all it takes? Please note that, we still need to check whether the procedure is over, which means whether i = n. So it takes 2 comparisons for each i, and the complexity function would be 2n = O(n).

Remark

The purpose of setting i = 2n is to indicate that we already found x in the search. And i has a value not in 1 to n, so the loop stops immediately.

Example

What is the complexity function of this algorithm? For each i, we need one comparison between x and a_i . Is it all it takes? Please note that, we still need to check whether the procedure is over, which means whether i = n. So it takes 2 comparisons for each i, and the complexity function would be 2n = O(n).

Remark

If the data is well-organized, we have a more efficient searching algorithm.

Binary search

Example

Suppose I have a roster of Math 2603, where your first names are listed in alphabetical order. Now I am looking for a student with first name Tony, how should I do?

Binary search

Example

Suppose I have a roster of Math 2603, where your first names are listed in alphabetical order. Now I am looking for a student with first name Tony, how should I do? Looking from the top may not be a good idea, because the initial T is the 20th letter among the 26 letters in the English alphabet. So I probably focus on the second half of the roster.

Example

Suppose I have a roster of Math 2603, where your first names are listed in alphabetical order. Now I am looking for a student with first name Tony, how should I do? Looking from the top may not be a good idea, because the initial T is the 20th letter among the 26 letters in the English alphabet. So I probably focus on the second half of the roster.

Remark

This is exactly the motivation of **binary search**. It's advantage is that each time we can drop half of the data and narrow down the space we need to search next.

Searching Sorting

A binary search algorithm

```
input : Real numbers a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n and x.
output: If x appear among a_i's, "True"; otherwise, "False".
while n > 0 do
    if n = 1 then
        if x = a_1 then output "True"; set n = 0;
        else output "False"; set n = 0;
    end
    else
        Set m = |\frac{n}{2}|;
        if x = a_m then output "True"; set n = 0;
        else if x < a_m then replace the current list with a_1, \dots, a_m;
         set n = m:
        else replace the current list with a_{m+1}, \cdots, a_n; set
         n = n - m:
    end
end
```

Algorithm 2: Binary Search

Example

Suppose x = 12 and

a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4	a_5	a_6	a_7	a_8	a_9	a_{10}
5	6	7	10	11	12	15	17	19	20

æ

▶ ∢ ≣

Example

Suppose x = 12 and

a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4	a_5	a_6	a_7	a_8	a_9	a_{10}
5	6	7	10	11	12	15	17	19	20

Solution

•
$$n = 10 > 0; m = \lfloor \frac{10}{2} \rfloor = 5.$$

200

Example

Suppose x = 12 and

a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4	a_5	a_6	a_7	a_8	a_9	a_{10}
5	6	7	10	11	12	15	17	19	20

Solution

•
$$n = 10 > 0; m = \lfloor \frac{10}{2} \rfloor = 5.$$

• compare x = 12 with $a_m = a_5 = 11$, $x \le a_m$ is false.

Example

Sup	pose	x	=	12	and
p	p 000	~			

a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4	a_5	a_6	a_7	a_8	a_9	a_{10}
5	6	7	10	11	12	15	17	19	20

Solution

•
$$n = 10 > 0; m = \lfloor \frac{10}{2} \rfloor = 5.$$

• compare x = 12 with $a_m = a_5 = 11$, $x \le a_m$ is false.

 replace the list by (a₁, a₂, a₃, a₄, a₅) = (12, 15, 17, 19, 20); replace n by n − m = 10 − 5.

Example

Suppose x = 12 and

a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4	a_5	a_6	a_7	a_8	a_9	a_{10}
5	6	7	10	11	12	15	17	19	20

Solution

•
$$n = 10 > 0; m = \lfloor \frac{10}{2} \rfloor = 5.$$

• compare x = 12 with $a_m = a_5 = 11$, $x \le a_m$ is false.

 replace the list by (a₁, a₂, a₃, a₄, a₅) = (12, 15, 17, 19, 20); replace n by n − m = 10 − 5.

•
$$n = 5 > 0; m = \lfloor \frac{5}{2} \rfloor = 2.$$

Example

Suppose x = 12 and

a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4	a_5	a_6	a_7	a_8	a_9	a_{10}
5	6	7	10	11	12	15	17	19	20

Solution

•
$$n = 10 > 0; m = \lfloor \frac{10}{2} \rfloor = 5.$$

• compare x = 12 with $a_m = a_5 = 11$, $x \le a_m$ is false.

 replace the list by (a₁, a₂, a₃, a₄, a₅) = (12, 15, 17, 19, 20); replace n by n − m = 10 − 5.

•
$$n = 5 > 0; m = \lfloor \frac{5}{2} \rfloor = 2.$$

• compare x = 12 with $a_m = a_2 = 15$, $x \le a_m$ is true.

Example

Suppose x = 12 and

a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4	a_5	a_6	a_7	a_8	a_9	a_{10}
5	6	7	10	11	12	15	17	19	20

Solution

•
$$n = 10 > 0; m = \lfloor \frac{10}{2} \rfloor = 5.$$

• compare x = 12 with $a_m = a_5 = 11$, $x \le a_m$ is false.

 replace the list by (a₁, a₂, a₃, a₄, a₅) = (12, 15, 17, 19, 20); replace n by n − m = 10 − 5.

•
$$n = 5 > 0; m = \lfloor \frac{5}{2} \rfloor = 2.$$

• compare x = 12 with $a_m = a_2 = 15$, $x \le a_m$ is true.

• replace the list by $(a_1, a_2) = (12, 15)$; replace n by m = 2.

Solution

•
$$n = 2 > 0; m = \lfloor \frac{2}{2} \rfloor = 1.$$

• compare
$$x = 12$$
 with $a_m = a_1 = 12$, $x \le a_m$ is true.

• output "True"; Set
$$n = 0$$
.

æ

Solution

•
$$n=2>0$$
; $m=\lfloor \frac{2}{2} \rfloor=1$.

• compare x = 12 with $a_m = a_1 = 12$, $x \le a_m$ is true.

• n = 0; the algorithm terminates.

Complexity analysis

We first assume that $n = 2^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there are at most k rounds of list replacement.

Complexity analysis

We first assume that $n = 2^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there are at most k rounds of list replacement. In each round, the comparison steps are: n > 0? n = 1? $x \le a_m$? So 3 comparisons. Finally there is one more comparison when n = 1: $x = a_1$?

Remark

If $n = 2^k$, the complexity of the binary search algorithm is $3k + 1 = 3 \log_2 n$. For general n, the order remains the same, so this algorithm has complexity $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$.

Sorting

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Motivation

Remark

Comparing the two algorithms of searching, we can see that a sorted pattern of the data is very useful to simplify other operations.

Comparing the two algorithms of searching, we can see that a sorted pattern of the data is very useful to simplify other operations.

Definition

A sorting algorithm puts a list of numbers into increasing order or a list of words into alphabetical order.

Remark

Suppose we want to sort a list of numbers a_1, \dots, a_n in increasing order. Then which number should be in the end?

Remark

Suppose we want to sort a list of numbers a_1, \dots, a_n in increasing order. Then which number should be in the end? The largest number among the list.

Remark

Suppose we want to sort a list of numbers a_1, \dots, a_n in increasing order. Then which number should be in the end? The largest number among the list. So we can go through the list and try to pass the largest number all the way to the right. This is the motivation of the **bubble sort**.

Remark

Suppose we want to sort a list of numbers a_1, \dots, a_n in increasing order. Then which number should be in the end? The largest number among the list. So we can go through the list and try to pass the largest number all the way to the right. This is the motivation of the **bubble sort**.

In the first round, we compare a_1 and a_2 and then put the larger one as new a_2 ;

Remark

Suppose we want to sort a list of numbers a_1, \dots, a_n in increasing order. Then which number should be in the end? The largest number among the list. So we can go through the list and try to pass the largest number all the way to the right. This is the motivation of the **bubble sort**.

In the first round, we compare a_1 and a_2 and then put the larger one as new a_2 ; next we compare a_2 and a_3 , then put the larger one as new a_3 ; and so on ...

Remark

One largest number in the list will reach a_n after this round. Then we repeat the process for the remaining n - 1 numbers.

Searching Sorting

Bubble Sort algorithm

```
input : Real numbers a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n
output: The same list of numbers in increasing order
for i = n - 1 down to 1 do
   for j = 1 to i do
       if a_i > a_{i+1} then
           swap a_i and a_{i+1}.
       end
   end
end
output a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n.
```

Algorithm 3: Bubble sort

Searching Sorting

Bubble Sort algorithm

```
input : Real numbers a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n
output: The same list of numbers in increasing order
for i = n - 1 down to 1 do
   for j = 1 to i do
       if a_i > a_{i+1} then
           swap a_i and a_{i+1}.
       end
   end
end
output a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n.
```

Algorithm 4: Bubble sort

Remark

Complexity function is
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} = \mathcal{O}(n^2)$$

э

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲

Example

Apply bubble sort to the list 3, 1, 7, 2, 5, 4.

→ < ∃ →</p>

Example

Apply bubble sort to the list 3, 1, 7, 2, 5, 4.

Solution

• round 1: $317254 \rightarrow 137254 \rightarrow 137254 \rightarrow 132754 \rightarrow 132574 \rightarrow 132547$.

Example

Apply bubble sort to the list 3, 1, 7, 2, 5, 4.

- round 1: $317254 \rightarrow 137254 \rightarrow 137254 \rightarrow 132754 \rightarrow 132574 \rightarrow 132547$.
- round 2: $132547 \rightarrow 132547 \rightarrow 123547 \rightarrow 123547 \rightarrow 123457$.

Example

Apply bubble sort to the list 3, 1, 7, 2, 5, 4.

- round 1: $317254 \rightarrow 137254 \rightarrow 137254 \rightarrow 132754 \rightarrow 132574 \rightarrow 132547$.
- round 2: $132547 \rightarrow 132547 \rightarrow 123547 \rightarrow 123547 \rightarrow 123457$.
- round 3: no more swap needed; output 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7 and terminate.

Remark

There are sorting algorithms with complexity better than $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$.

A ≥ ▶

э

Remark

There are sorting algorithms with complexity better than $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$.

Example

Suppose for 2 studio sections of Math 2603, I have sorted your exam papers in alphabetical order. How can I combine these papers in alphabetical order?

Remark

There are sorting algorithms with complexity better than $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$.

Example

Suppose for 2 studio sections of Math 2603, I have sorted your exam papers in alphabetical order. How can I combine these papers in alphabetical order?

Solution

First I compare the first paper in each section. Suppose they are Alice from section A and Bob from section B. Then Alice would be the very first name after combination. Now who could be the overall 2nd?

Remark

There are sorting algorithms with complexity better than $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$.

Example

Suppose for 2 studio sections of Math 2603, I have sorted your exam papers in alphabetical order. How can I combine these papers in alphabetical order?

Solution

First I compare the first paper in each section. Suppose they are Alice from section A and Bob from section B. Then Alice would be the very first name after combination. Now who could be the overall 2nd? If from section B, it must be Bob; if from section A, it must be the 2nd name in section A. So it turns out that in every step, I need to do a single comparison.

Merging algorithm

input : Sorted lists $L_1 : a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_s$ and $L_2: b_1 < b_2 < \cdots < b_t$ **output:** The union of L_1 and L_2 as a sorted list $L_3: c_1 < c_2 < \cdots < c_{s+t}$ set L_3 to be an empty list; set i = 1; set j = 1; while i < s & j < t do if $a_i > b_j$ then append b_j to the end of L_3 ; set j = j + 1; else append a_i to the end of L_3 ; set i = i + 1; end if i > s then append b_i, \dots, b_t to the end of L_3 ; else if j > t then append a_i, \dots, a_s to the end of L_3 ; output L_3 .

Algorithm 5: Merging

伺 ト イヨ ト イヨト

The complexity of the above merging algorithm is s + t - 1, which enables the **merge sort** algorithm.

The complexity of the above merging algorithm is s + t - 1, which enables the **merge sort** algorithm.

The idea is simple: given n numbers, we divide them into two parts, and we try to sort both parts first, then merge the two parts. When sorting each part, we apply the same approach.

The complexity of the above merging algorithm is s + t - 1, which enables the **merge sort** algorithm.

The idea is simple: given n numbers, we divide them into two parts, and we try to sort both parts first, then merge the two parts. When sorting each part, we apply the same approach. So essentially it is a recursive algorithm.

Merge sort algorithm

```
input : Real numbers a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n
output: The same list of numbers in increasing order
for i \leftarrow 1 to n do
    set list L_i be the single element a_i;
end
set F = 0:
while F = 0 do
    if n = 1 then set F = 1; output L_1;
    else if n = 2m is even then for i \leftarrow 1 to m do
         merge sorted lists L_{2i-1} and L_{2i} and sort; label the new list L_i;
    end
    set n = m:
    else if n = 2m + 1 is odd then for i \leftarrow 1 to m do
         merge sorted lists L_{2i-1} and L_{2i} and sort; label the new list L_i;
        set L_{m+1} = L_n:
    end
    set n = m + 1 :
end
                        Algorithm 6: Merge sort < -> < -> < -> < -> < ->
                                                                                  э
```

Bo Lin

Lecture 12 search & sort

Example

Sort 2, 9, 1, 4, 6, 5, 3.

æ

A ≥ ▶

Example

Sort 2, 9, 1, 4, 6, 5, 3.

Solution

• Round 1:
$$n = 7, m = 3$$
; $L_i : a_i$ for $1 \le i \le 7$.

▲ 同 ▶ ▲ 国

æ

Example

Sort 2, 9, 1, 4, 6, 5, 3.

- Round 1: $n = 7, m = 3; L_i : a_i \text{ for } 1 \le i \le 7.$
- Round 2: n = 4, m = 2; $L_1 : 2, 9$; $L_2 : 1, 4$; $L_3 : 5, 6$; $L_4 : 3$.

Example

Sort 2, 9, 1, 4, 6, 5, 3.

- Round 1: n = 7, m = 3; $L_i : a_i$ for $1 \le i \le 7$.
- Round 2: n = 4, m = 2; $L_1 : 2, 9$; $L_2 : 1, 4$; $L_3 : 5, 6$; $L_4 : 3$.
- Round 3: n = 2, m = 1; $L_1 : 1, 2, 4, 9$; $L_2 : 3, 5, 6$.

Example

Sort 2, 9, 1, 4, 6, 5, 3.

- Round 1: n = 7, m = 3; $L_i : a_i$ for $1 \le i \le 7$.
- Round 2: n = 4, m = 2; $L_1 : 2, 9$; $L_2 : 1, 4$; $L_3 : 5, 6$; $L_4 : 3$.
- Round 3: n = 2, m = 1; $L_1 : 1, 2, 4, 9$; $L_2 : 3, 5, 6$.
- Round 3: n = 1; $L_1 : 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9$.

The complexity

Suppose $n = 2^k$, then there are k rounds. In the *i*-th round, we have 2^{k+1-i} lists with size 2^{i-1} , and we merge sort them into 2^{k-i} lists with size 2^i . The total number operations in the *i*-th round is $2^k - 2^{k-i}$. So the total number is

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(2^k - 2^{k-i}\right) = (k-1)2^k + 1.$$

The complexity

Suppose $n = 2^k$, then there are k rounds. In the *i*-th round, we have 2^{k+1-i} lists with size 2^{i-1} , and we merge sort them into 2^{k-i} lists with size 2^i . The total number operations in the *i*-th round is $2^k - 2^{k-i}$. So the total number is

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(2^k - 2^{k-i}\right) = (k-1)2^k + 1$$

In addition, in each round, there are three more comparisons: n = 1? n = 2m? F = 0? So the complexity function is $(k-1)2^k + 3k + 1 = O(k2^k) = O(n \log n).$

The complexity

Suppose $n = 2^k$, then there are k rounds. In the *i*-th round, we have 2^{k+1-i} lists with size 2^{i-1} , and we merge sort them into 2^{k-i} lists with size 2^i . The total number operations in the *i*-th round is $2^k - 2^{k-i}$. So the total number is

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(2^k - 2^{k-i} \right) = (k-1)2^k + 1$$

In addition, in each round, there are three more comparisons: n = 1? n = 2m? F = 0? So the complexity function is $(k-1)2^k + 3k + 1 = O(k2^k) = O(n \log n).$

Remark

 $\mathcal{O}(n\log n)$ is the best complexity for sorting algorithms.

Searching Sorting

Homework Assignment #7 - today

Section 8.3 Exercise 9, 10(c), 14, 24.

Bo Lin Lecture 12 search & sort

→ < Ξ → <</p>